

# Business Planning / MTFS Options 2021/22 - 2025/26

PL20/30

Please fill this pro forma out fully. It is important that options brought forward from Stage 1 are worked up into fuller,

Title of Option:	Targeted recovery of PCNs issued to persistent evaders		
Priority:	Place	Responsible Officer:	Ann Cunningham
Affected Service(s) and AD:	Highways and Parking	Contact / Lead:	Ann Cunningham

### Description of Option:

Targeted recovery of PCNs issued to motorists who evade paying their parking fines. This represents 2% increase in recovery over the 5 year period. .

## **Financial Benefits Summary**

Please provide indicative financial benefits information, including any initial investment costs below. Where figures are speculative and require further detailed work to refine these, please indicate this in the text box below.

Revenue Impacts	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	Total
All figures shown on an incremental	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s
New net additional savings	80	80	80	80	80	400

Initial One-Off Investment Costs	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	Total
	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s	£000s
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### **Financial Implications Outline**

- •How have the savings above been determined? Please provide a brief breakdown of the factors considered.
- •Is any additional investment required in order to deliver the proposal?
- •If relevant, how will additional income be generated and how has the amounts been determined?
- •Please describe the nature of one off implementation costs (if applicable)

Persistent evaders are those who accumulate high numbers of PCNs but continue to evade payment. Increased resources are being introduced as part of new operating model and the new PMIS. This proposal must also be considered in conjunction with other MTFS proposals to increase parking recovery. Those measures accumulatively increase the parking recovery rate to 68%.

## **Delivery Confidence - Stage 1**

At this stage, how confident are you that this	
option could be delivered and benefits	
realised as set out?	
(1 = not at all confident;	3 = Confident
5 = very confident)	

## Indicative timescale for implementation

NO :-	
	NO :-

### **Implementation Details**

- •How will the proposal be implemented? Are any additional resources required?
- •Please provide a brief timeline of the implementation phase.
- •How will a successful implementation be measured? Which performance indicators are most relevant?

This will be linked to the new operating model, new PMIS and new Nuisance Vehicle Operation.

## Impact / non-financial benefits and disbenefits

What is the impact on businesses, members, staff, partners and other stakeholders and how will this be mitigated or 
Positive Impacts

This will improve management of the road network - reducing the number of persistent evaders,

Negative Impacts

None

How does this option ensure the Council is able to meet **statutory requirements**?

This does not impact on our ability to meet statutory requirements.

## **Risks and Mitigation**

What are the main risks associated with this option and how could they be mitigated? (Add rows if required)

Risk	Impact (H/M/L)	Probability (H/M/L)	Mitigation
This will rely on adequate resources	Н	L	Resources being increased to support operation.

Has the EqIA Screening Tool been completed for this proposal? <u>EqIA Screening Tool</u>	NO
Is a full EqIA required?	No

Reviewed by		
Director / AD	[Comme	nts]
[name]	Signature:	
	Date:	
Finance Business Partner	[Comme	nts]
[name]	Signature:	
	Date:	